

Narrative Information Sheet1. Applicant Identification

The Town of Norton, Massachusetts, 70 East Main Street, Norton, Massachusetts 02766

2. Funding Requested

a. Assessment Grant Type: Site-Specific

b. Federal Funds Requested

i. \$ 350,000

ii. The Town is requesting a Site-specific Assessment Grant waiver of the \$200,000 limit.

c. Contamination: Hazardous Substances and Petroleum

Note: Hazardous Substances \$175,000, Petroleum \$175,000

3. Location

The subject property consists of three vacant parcels of land identified as 47 Elm Street (Tax Map 17-36-0), 0 Elm Street (Tax Map 17-32-01-0), and 0 Cross Street (Tax Map 17-37-01-0), located in the Town of Norton, in Bristol County, Massachusetts, 02766.

4. Property Information for Site-Specific Proposals

The subject property is known as the former Reed & Barton (and Eureka Manufacturing) property located in the Town of Norton, in Bristol County, Massachusetts and consists of three vacant parcels of land identified as 47 Elm Street (Tax Map 17-36-0), 0 Elm Street (Tax Map 17-32-01-0), and 0 Cross Street (Tax Map 17-37-01-0).

5. Contactsa. Project Director

Michael Yunits, Town Manager, 70 East Main Street, Norton, Massachusetts 02766.
Telephone: (508) 285-0210 Email: MYunits@nortonmaus.com

b. Chief Executive/Highest Ranking Elected Official

Michael Yunits, Town Manager, 70 East Main Street, Norton, Massachusetts 02766.
Telephone: (508) 285-0210 Email: MYunits@nortonmaus.com

6. Population

19,031 (2010 US Census Data)

7. Other Factors Checklist

Other Factors	Page #
Community population is 10,000 or less.	n/a
The applicant is, or will assist, a federally recognized Indian tribe or United States territory.	n/a
The priority brownfield site(s) is impacted by mine-scarred land.	n/a
The priority site(s) is adjacent to a body of water (i.e., the border of the priority site(s) is contiguous or partially contiguous to the body of water, or would be contiguous or partially contiguous with a body of water but for a street, road, or other public thoroughfare separating them).	Pgs. 1, 2
The priority site(s) is in a federally designated flood plain.	Pgs. 1
The redevelopment of the priority site(s) will facilitate renewable energy from wind, solar, or geothermal energy; or any energy efficiency improvement projects.	Pgs. 3, 4
30% or more of the overall project budget will be spent on eligible reuse planning activities for priority brownfield site(s) within the target area.	n/a

8. Letter from the State or Tribal Environmental Authority

A letter from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) is attached.



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Energy & Environmental Affairs

Department of Environmental Protection

One Winter Street Boston, MA 02108 • 617-292-5500

Charles D. Baker
Governor

Karyn E. Polito
Lieutenant Governor

Matthew A. Beaton
Secretary

Martin Suuberg
Commissioner

January 15, 2019

U.S. EPA New England
Attn: Frank Gardner
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912

RE: STATE LETTER OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT
Town of Norton, Brownfield Assessment Grant Funding, Former Reed & Barton property

Dear Mr. Gardner:

I am writing to support the proposal submitted by the Town of Norton (Town) under the Fiscal Year 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Brownfield Assessment Grant Program. Funding from EPA will support the Town's efforts to assess the former Reed & Barton property in Norton, Massachusetts. A documented release of oil and/or hazardous materials has occurred at this property and is tracked under Release Tracking Number (RTN) 4-0025855 with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP). The Town is considering acquiring the property via tax foreclosure with the intent of demolishing the existing building and constructing a new Town Hall and Senior Center, along with open space and public access to the abutting Rumford River.

On January 23, 2015, Governor Baker signed his first Executive Order, creating the Community Compact Cabinet, in order to elevate the Administration's partnerships with cities and towns across the Commonwealth. Lieutenant Governor Polito chairs the cabinet, which concentrates financial, technical, and other resources at the state level to a select group of projects, including Brownfields. The Town's compact was signed on April 21, 2016, ensuring any funding provided by EPA will be supported by a focused commitment of state resources.

We greatly appreciate EPA's continued support of Brownfield efforts here in Massachusetts.

Sincerely,

Paul Locke
Assistant Commissioner, Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

cc: Michael Yunits, Town Manager, Town of Norton
John Handrahan, Brownfield Coordinator, MassDEP Southeast Regional Office

This information is available in alternate format. Call the MassDEP Diversity Office at 617-556-1139. TTY# MassRelay Service 1-800-439-2370

MassDEP Website: www.mass.gov/dep

Printed on Recycled Paper

1. PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION AND PLANS FOR REVITALIZATION

a. Target Area and Brownfields

Background and Description of Target Area: The Town of Norton is located in Bristol County, Massachusetts. Norton was first settled in 1669, and was initially called North Taunton due to its location on the northern border of the City of Taunton. The Town was renamed to Norton when it was officially established in 1710. Norton, with a population of approximately 19,000, is a small town with approximately 5800 households with 4500 families residing within the Town. The Town is listed as an Economically Distressed Area by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, according to the most recent listing dated March 2017. There are 83 listed oil and/or hazardous materials disposal sites in Town, 12 of which remain open and 6 of which are listed as being in default, meaning the required assessment and/or cleanup is not occurring in accordance with the state requirements. Norton is a total of 29.8 square miles in size, of which 28.7 square miles is land and 1.1 square miles is water. The land is generally low and swampy, with approximately 43% of the area being wetlands.

The specific area subject to this application is an area located along the Rumford River, approximately one half mile from the center of Town and Wheaton College. This area is being targeted because it is the location of the abandoned former Reed & Barton industrial facility. Reed & Barton was founded in 1824, in Taunton, Massachusetts, and was one of the foremost makers of tableware and silver plated giftware in the United States. President John F. Kennedy cut his inaugural cake in 1961 using a Reed & Barton antique cake knife. Reed & Barton produced the medals for the 1996 Olympic games, hosted in Atlanta, Georgia.

According to GIS information reviewed, the following are located within 1 mile of the target area: 4,900 residents; 1 public elementary school, 1 private school and Wheaton College; 4 daycare centers; the Norton Reservoir; several private drinking water supply wells; and, numerous vernal pools. The Rumford River (the River) flows through the target area and the Site, which is located within special flood hazard areas (Zone AE), as defined by the Federal Environmental Management Agency (FEMA) and depicted on the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for this area. There is an upstream hazardous waste site, the Hathaway and Patterson site, which is located along the River and is impacted by dioxins and pesticides. The Norton Reservoir and the Rumford River are both subject to a fish advisory due to the presence of dioxins and pesticides from upstream sites, including the Hathaway and Patterson site.

Description of the Priority Brownfield Site: The Priority Brownfield Site (the Site) is known as the former Reed & Barton (and Eureka Manufacturing) property located on Elm and Cross Streets in Norton, Massachusetts. The Site consists of three parcels of land identified as 47 Elm Street, 0 Elm Street, and 0 Cross Street, all of which were owned and operated by Reed & Barton, and other related entities. The parcels are separated from one another by either Elm or Cross Street. Reed & Barton, and other related companies, operated at the Norton facility from 1974 until 2007, when the company ceased operations. The facility has remained vacant since 2007; and, in 2015, through bankruptcy proceedings, Reed & Barton legally abandoned the property. The facility was originally constructed, circa 1900, for the textile industry. The facility was utilized by Reed & Barton for the manufacturing and plating of flatware and for the manufacture of storage cases for jewelry, flatware, and other items.

The Site is surrounded by residential properties and the Rumford River. The facility has been vacant since 2007. There is no entity responsible for upkeep of the property or the buildings. The Building Inspector has designated it as unsafe to access in the event of a fire; and, in that case, the building would be allowed to burn with the only goal of protecting nearby properties. Given the presence of hazardous building materials (asbestos) on the interior and exterior of the building, such a situation would pose a great risk to the surrounding residents and would make cleanup and redevelopment of the property much more costly. The Site and building is subject to trespassing and the police department has had to respond to numerous calls related to break-ins and vandalism.

The 47 Elm Street parcel (Assessor's Parcel 17-36-0) is 16.25 acres in size and contains the former woolen mill and manufacturing building that is comprised of several one to two-story buildings, originally built circa 1907, and pieced together over the years to total approximately 170,000 square feet. The different sections are in various states of disrepair. There is a large brick smoke stack associated with the boiler room that has a large crack throughout it, which is a significant threat to public safety. Remaining in the building are four massive boiler units, and three 275-gallon capacity above ground storage tanks (ASTs). The remnants of a metal plating system and rooms used for spraying paints and lacquers also remain within the building, along with a subsurface wastewater conveyance system. Portions of the building are not accessible due to significant disrepair and there are signs of trespassing inside and outside of the building. There is a 20,000 gallon capacity and a 10,000 gallon capacity underground storage tank (UST) located outside of the building footprint. The Rumford River, and its designated floodplain, runs through the property from the north to the south and exits the property via a dam structure at Cross Street. Wooded wetland areas abut the River, except where the building is located. The northwestern portion of this parcel is a grassed area that is higher than the remainder of the parcel; and, there is a large subsurface wastewater conveyance system (connected to the one beneath the building) present in this area. The eastern portion of this parcel (beyond the river and associated wetlands) is wooded.

The 0 Cross Street (Assessor's Parcel 17-37-01-0) parcel is 3.5 acres in size and is not currently improved with any structures. A wastewater settling/treatment system was formerly located on this parcel. Process wastewater was formerly piped across Cross Street from the facility. The capped former wastewater lagoons are located on this parcel. The river also runs through this parcel in a north to south direction. The 0 Elm Street (Assessor's Parcel 17-32-01-0) parcel is a vacant, paved parking lot that is just under one acre in size. The parcel is located across Elm Street from the former manufacturing facility and was used as a parking area for former facility employees and visitors. Evidence of dumping of various materials (vinyl pool, miscellaneous wood and household waste, among other items) was observed on this parcel.

In October 2015, during bankruptcy proceedings, the MassDEP performed site assessment activities focused on the detection of trichloroethylene (TCE) in a groundwater sample collected in the vicinity of the Site. The concentration detected was greater than the applicable reportable concentration in groundwater; and, as a result, MassDEP recorded it as a reportable release requiring additional response actions, and assigned Release Tracking Number (RTN) 4-0025855. At the time, MassDEP thought that the source of the TCE may have been the former lagoons. In July 2016, due to the known contamination, potential migration pathways, and the lack of a viable party to undertake the necessary assessment and cleanup, MassDEP requested that the USEPA evaluate the Site to determine whether a removal action was warranted.

In April 2017, the USEPA initiated a Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation (PA/SI) to evaluate the extent of the hazardous materials. Metals (arsenic and lead); volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (TCE and carbon tetrachloride); and, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (benzo(a) pyrene) were detected in soil, sediment, and groundwater at the Site at concentrations exceeding their applicable standards or cleanup criteria. As a result of the PA/SI, the USEPA concluded that a removal action was necessary to prevent further deterioration of Site conditions and to reduce the environmental and public health risks posed by the Site.

In August 2018, the USEPA and their contractor mobilized to the Site to begin the removal action, which was to consist of evaluating exterior transformers for the presence of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); delineating and clearing work zones; diversion of river water to facilitate excavation along the bank; excavation and off-Site disposal of impacted sediment and soils that met removal criteria; assessment of any additional hazardous materials identified during the removal action; repair of response related damages; and, demobilization. During the performance of the removal action, petroleum product was identified seeping into the river from the banks. A Site plan reviewed at the Town Fire Department depicts the location of a 20,000 gallon capacity UST and a 10,000 gallon capacity UST in the vicinity of the

exterior of the boiler room, near the large brick stack. These were previously unidentified and have not been accessed or assessed at all. Additional assessment of the petroleum related impacts and identification of the source is ongoing.

b. Revitalization of the Target Area

- i. Redevelopment Strategy and Alignment with Revitalization Plans: Consistent with the Town's zoning ordinance and current planning efforts, the Town's redevelopment strategy for this Site is to raze the existing structures and redevelop the parcels into a new Town Hall and Senior Center, along with open space, recreation trails and public access to the River. This redevelopment plan is the result of a town-wide feasibility and siting study. Given this interest in this property for redevelopment, the Town had an ASTM Phase I Site Assessment performed to help determine the potential environmental issues and liabilities that it would be undertaking if it were to take the property. As a result of the Phase I, numerous environmental concerns were identified. As part of the redevelopment, a study of the dam will be performed to evaluate whether improvements, or removal, are necessary to ensure long-term resiliency and sustainability of the new development. Elevated solar arrays will be constructed above the proposed parking areas to help provide electricity for the new facilities and lighting for parking areas. The Town is also evaluating the potential of including geothermal and/or hydropower into the redevelopment. The area is largely residential and/or consists of wooded/undeveloped lands running along the Rumford River. Access to the River is limited as most of the land (other than these three parcels) along the river is either not accessible or private property. Some of the main goals of the Town's Open Space Plan are to provide increased public access to waterbodies; protection of the Town's surface water bodies and acquire/protect and/or preserve areas identified as ecologically important for local and regional resilience. Each of these will be accomplished by this redevelopment strategy. The Town is also conducting a public process to develop a Vision Plan designed to make Norton Center and the Common more user friendly and accessible. The Town Hall is located very close to the Common and traffic is a persistent, chronic issue. Moving the Town Hall out of that immediate congested area will be beneficial in reducing the traffic congestion.
- ii. Outcomes and Benefits of Redevelopment Strategy: There is a significant need for a new Town Hall complex and Senior Center, in addition to the other services that would be provided (food pantry) through redevelopment of this Site. These services are currently being performed in a very outdated, undersized, not to code, facility. The current Town Hall was originally built in 1907 as a gymnasium for a private all-girls school. Multiple offices share the same working space, there are no conference or meeting rooms in the building and it is not up to date with various building codes and requirements. Redevelopment of this property would result in significant positive impact to the neighborhood, increased public access to the River and increased open space and recreational opportunities. It would remove a blighted, threat to public safety from a residential neighborhood and serve to revitalize the neighborhood. This redevelopment would address municipal tax liens that have been placed on the property and would increase the surrounding property values. It will also provide for a modern Town Hall complex that would make a positive first impression on potential developers to Town. Without this proposed redevelopment, this property will likely remain as is for decades to come. The redevelopment will incorporate renewable energy and sustainable building concepts; and will ensure the future resiliency of the area.

A component of the redevelopment plan includes provision of public access to the Rumford River and creation of trails and open space along the River. There is ample area along both sides of the River to provide public access to the River, trails and open space, along with the redevelopment. There is also potential for connectivity to other Town-owned open space and Norton Land Trust land to the south of the property.

c. Strategy for Leveraging Resources

- i. Resources Needed for Site Reuse: Through the bankruptcy settlement, some funding was set aside for MassDEP to perform initial assessment of the Site, including limited subsurface investigation and an evaluation of the 170,000 square building for hazardous building materials. Because this funding is insufficient to complete all of the necessary assessment and risk assessment, the Town is in close coordination with MassDEP regarding their work and the requested funding through this grant would be utilized to complete the necessary comprehensive Site investigation and risk assessment. The presence of both hazardous materials and petroleum-related impacts complicates the assessment and risk assessment. In addition, based on Phase I Site Assessment activities funded by the Town, there appears to be a 20,000 gallon capacity and a 10,000 gallon capacity UST; and, a former plating area and subsurface wastewater system; all of which were previously not identified and are a likely source of petroleum and hazardous substances impacts at the Site and which require assessment.

At the 2017 Town Meeting, a fund was established for the design, engineering and construction of a new Town Hall and a Senior Center. The Town leveraged a portion of the design and oversight fee through a one-time building fee that the Town received from a pharmaceutical manufacturer that is constructing a facility in Town. At the April 2018, Town Meeting a vote was made to appropriate funds for the Town to hire a consultant to perform a town-wide Feasibility Study for both the Town Hall and the Senior Center. The study was conducted and completed in the Fall of 2018. This Site was evaluated as part of the study and a preliminary concept for this Site was presented in the study. The Town intends to vote at the Spring 2019 Town Meeting to appropriate the funds necessary to construct the new Town Hall, along with the Senior Center. Although this project has funding leveraged for certain components, it does not have sufficient funds allocated to perform the asbestos abatement, structure demolition, site assessment and remediation. Without funding for assessment, the Town Hall and Senior Center will likely be sited in a less desirable location that is not complicated by contamination and former buildings, and this property will remain abandoned.

The Town has a proven record of leveraging funds from various entities, including state and federal agencies, among others, to implement municipal infrastructure projects such as this. Including private investment, the Town has received funding from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Massachusetts School Building Authority (SBA), and the Massachusetts Department of Conservation Resources (DCR). The Town will also seek funding from MassWorks related to the development of the recreational areas and/or trails; and, from the Massachusetts Division of Ecological Restoration for dam removal or improvements.

- ii. Use of Existing Infrastructure: The area is serviced by existing municipal sewer, water and overhead electricity. None of these are currently in service at the Property. New connections may be required to the existing infrastructure but new infrastructure is not anticipated. Improvements to the infrastructure are anticipated, including proper abandonment of a sewerage system that was identified on the northwestern corner of the property; updates and improvements to the dam; and installation of solar above parking areas and on rooftops of the new buildings.

2. COMMUNITY NEED AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

a. Community Need

- i. The Community's Need for Funding: Norton is a small community with a fairly weak commercial tax base. Sysco foods was one of the Town's largest commercial employers with over 800 employees, until it moved its operations out of the Town in 2012. There are currently only three commercial tax payers in Town who employ more than 100 people. At the height of it's operation, Reed & Barton employed approximately 125 employees at the Norton facility, many of whom resided in Town. Business declined, layoffs were made; and the facility was closed in 2007. With no viable entity to maintain the facility the Town has made efforts to ensure the security of the facility and the surrounding area; and responds to incidents of vandalism, trespassing and break-ins. The facility is

surrounded by residential properties, including the densely populated area of Norton Grove to the northwest. This redevelopment project will be a significant asset to this small Town and to the residents of this area. Without this assessment funding, the Town will have to opt for another, less complicated, project location. This property is not desirable to potential developers due to the complicated environmental issues and the existing tax liens.

The existing Council on Aging facility no longer fulfills the needs of the senior community in Norton. The existing Town Hall, which is often the first impression that developers get when they seek to purchase or develop a property, is completely inadequate, is not compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act requirements for public buildings, nor does it have the capacity to accommodate all of the existing users of the facility, including the various municipal offices, the Fire Department, and the food pantry Cupboards of Kindness. Town Meeting voted to appropriate funds to complete the Feasibility Study for both the Town Hall and Senior Center and appropriated funds to design and construct the Town Hall and Senior Center. The Feasibility Study resulted in the Site being selected as the Town's preferred location for these facilities. However, locating them on this Site is complicated by numerous factors that would not be encountered at an undeveloped property. For example, in the Fall of 2018, utilizing bankruptcy settlement funding, MassDEP's contractor performed an assessment of the building for suspect asbestos-containing materials. Although the report has not been issued, it is the Town's understanding that significant asbestos abatement will be required prior to demolition of the structures. The costs associated with asbestos abatement and building demolition will have to be included in any appropriation to build the new facilities. The costs to perform the necessary assessment and risk assessment are above and beyond what is available for the project.

- ii. Threats to Sensitive Populations: This grant will result in the reduction of threats to the health and welfare of the Town and the residential neighborhood in which the Site is located.
 - (1) Health or Welfare of Sensitive Populations: The redevelopment project will result in beneficial impact to senior citizens and to the residents that are serviced by the food pantry. The removal of the threat to public health and safety (the structures at the Site) is a clear benefit to the community, particularly to the residents located within the neighborhood and the nearby Norton Grove. The potential for release of asbestos to the air; the ongoing release of oil and/or hazardous materials to groundwater and soil; and, the ongoing release of oil to the already impaired River will be addressed by the redevelopment. In addition, increased open space, recreational trails and access to the river are a benefit to all community members.
 - (2) Greater Than Normal Incidence of Disease and Adverse Health Conditions: According to data obtained from the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Norton has higher incidents of certain cancers (female bladder; brain & nervous system, colon/rectal, kidney, liver/bile duct, skin melanoma and female non-Hodgkin lymphoma) than is expected in Massachusetts. In some cases (colon/rectal, uterine), the percentage of observed cases in Norton is 8% higher than what is expected in Massachusetts. In addition, Massachusetts has a higher occurrence of asthma than other states. These higher rates may be associated with exposure to hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or petroleum. Removing this source of contamination is beneficial to the environment in Norton as a whole, and to the residential population surrounding the Site. Moving Town Hall will reduce congestion and will reduce air pollution as a result.
 - (3) Economically Impoverished/Disproportionately Impacted Populations: The Site is located in an area designated by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as an Economically Distressed Area and by MassDevelopment as an Economic Target Area. The Town has been disadvantaged for decades by the presence of a Site, the Shpack landfill site; which is impacted by radioactive wastes, dioxins, volatile organic compounds, metals, polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic

aromatic hydrocarbons, and metals. The assessment and cleanup has been ongoing for decades and has affected the environmental health of the Town.

b. Community Engagement

- i. Community Involvement: The Town is committed to actively engaging the community in this assessment and redevelopment project. The local community partners that will be involved in the project include, at a minimum, the Building Committee established for the Town Hall project, the Council on Aging, the Cupboard to Kindness food pantry and the local neighborhood group. The main partners are identified in the table below.

Partner Name	Point of Contact (email/phone)	Specific Project Role
Council on Aging	Beth Rossi, Director Ph: (508) 285-0235 Email: etaylorrossi@nortonmaus.com	Coordination for Senior Center
Cupboards of Kindness	Kristen Miller, Director Ph: (508) 294-2500	Coordinator for food pantry
Building Committee	Robert Medeiros, Sr. Kevin O'Neil Lukasz Waslak Mark Gershman James Slattery	Siting coordination and re-use planning
Board of Health	Chris Zahner, Agent Ph: (508)285-0262 Email: healthagent@nortonmaus.com	Health Monitoring & Communications
Conservation Commission	Jennifer Carlino, Agent Ph: (508) 285-0276 Email: jcarlino@nortonmaus.com	Resource Protection

Representatives of community groups directly affected by the site, particularly the residents in close proximity to the Site, will also be actively involved. There is currently a list of residents who have asked to be involved with updates related to the assessment and cleanup, who the Town will be engaging during the Site assessment.

- ii. Incorporating Community Input: The Town has already begun engaging the public on the potential location of the new Town Hall and Senior Center through the public Feasibility Study. Throughout the Site assessment and redevelopment, the Town will utilize resources such as the Town website, local newspapers, and media outlet (including the local cable television station), Town Meeting, televised Selectmen's meetings (which are open public meetings with advertised agendas), and other relevant outlets to update the community as a whole and to inform community members about how they can be involved in the Site assessment and redevelopment. Updates will be posted in Town Hall, the library, and local churches and community centers. Direct abutters to the Site will be updated in writing. The Town will hold public input sessions and community meetings specifically to update interested parties on the status of the assessment and to gain input from interested stakeholders. At a minimum, one public meeting will be held prior to implementing the assessment and periodically during the assessment and redevelopment planning. The frequency of meetings will be determined based on achievement of milestones throughout the project.

All communications related to the project will emphasize the importance of community input into the project as a whole, including the assessment. Questions and concerns raised about the assessment or the project will be answered both publicly and on an individual basis. Smaller meetings may be held with residential abutters to keep them informed. Concerns related to sensitive populations in the vicinity of the Site will be given the utmost attention. The Town is not currently aware of a language barrier that would need to be overcome to effectively communicate with the affected population, but will make necessary accommodations should that present itself. Staff involved with the project will be appropriately trained to identify such barriers and steps will be taken (providing translated materials and correspondence, hiring of a translator for meetings) if necessary.

3. TASK DESCRIPTIONS, COST ESTIMATES, AND MEASURING PROGRESS

- a. Description of Tasks and Activities:** Provided below is a description of the tasks and activities to be undertaken utilizing the Site-Specific Assessment Grant funding.

Task 1 – Program Oversight: The Town of Norton Town Manager will be responsible for the oversight of this project. The Town will establish a record of all activities related to the project, which will be maintained at the office of the Town Manager. The Town Manager and staff will conduct all of the required reporting to USEPA related to the program and will track project progress and timeframes for deliverables throughout the project. Bi-weekly calls will be conducted to ensure timely implementation. The Town Manager and staff will procure the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) to perform the Site Assessment and Community Involvement tasks and a qualified design professional for the re-use planning task. The Task 1 activities will commence upon receipt of a cooperative agreement and will continue throughout the project duration with quarterly ACRES reporting to USEPA.

Task 2 – Community Involvement: The Town Manager and staff will coordinate with the project partners and the Qualified Environmental Professional to prepare a plan and approach for engaging and involving the community in the project. This task will include preparation, presentation, and dissemination of materials related to the project; preparation for and attendance at public meetings, and implementation of all activities to engage the community and solicit public input throughout the project. The activities associated with this task commence immediately upon issuance of a cooperative agreement, with a public meeting prior to initiation of the assessment (Winter 2020), regular written updates and dissemination of information, and continuous coordination with project partners throughout the project duration.

Task 3 – Site Assessment: The Town Manager and staff will oversee the Qualified Environmental Professional in the implementation of the Site Assessment. Assessment of soil, groundwater, surface water, private drinking water wells, and sediment will be conducted related to both hazardous materials and petroleum substances. Included within the assessments will be evaluation of the risk posed to human health, public welfare and the environment by the presence of petroleum substances and hazardous materials at the Site. All assessment activities will be conducted in accordance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations, including both the National Contingency Plan (NCP) and the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP). Preparation of all necessary documents related to the assessment and risk assessment will be prepared under this task by the Qualified Environmental Professional.

Task 4 – Re-Use Planning: The Town Manager and the Qualified Environmental Professional will coordinate with a planning/design expert to evaluate the known impacts, risks posed and conditions at the Site to ensure successful design for the reuse of the Site. The coordination of the Site assessment with the design for the redevelopment ensures appropriate uses of various portions of the property based on the known impacts to the subsurface. The re-use planning will begin with the information and conceptual design presented in the Feasibility Study and will be further informed by the results of the assessment and risk assessment. For example, this coordination will assist in determining the areas that are suitable for buildings, capping (pavement), or for recreational paths or access to the River.

- b. Cost Estimates and Outputs:** Each of the tasks presented below will be carried out for both the Hazardous Materials site assessment and the Petroleum Substances site assessment. The task descriptions are the same for each and are presented together but the costs are presented in separate tables below.

Task 1 – Program Oversight: The outputs associated with this task include the necessary quarterly reporting by the Town through ACRES, and successful procurement of a Qualified Environmental Professional. The Town is not seeking costs associated with compensation or benefits for Town employees but is only seeking costs (\$1,000 for each grant category) associated with travel and expenses (flight, hotel, per diem expenses) for a Town employee involved with the project to attend one USEPA

Brownfields Conference in 2019 and 2020; and, supplies for printing, copies and related expenses (\$1,000 for each category).

Task 2 – Community Involvement: The outputs and costs associated with this task include materials to be disseminated as part of community engagement activities (updates, fact sheets, etc.) and costs associated with planning for and attendance at public meetings and information sessions by the Qualified Environmental Professional and others, as needed. This is estimated to be \$5,000 per grant category. Town Manager or staff time to perform these activities is not included.

Task 3 – Site Assessment: The outputs associated with this task will be a Quality Assurance Project Plan and the submittals identifying the findings of the assessments related to both hazardous materials and petroleum impacts. These will include reports documenting the results of the comprehensive site assessment and risk assessments (ie. an MCP Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment, and a Site-Specific Method 3 Risk Characterization) performed to identify the sources, nature and extent of the hazardous materials and petroleum impacts at the Site and the risks to human health, public safety and the environment posed by these impacts. The costs associated with this task are the contracted costs for the Qualified Environmental Professional to perform the assessments, complete risk assessments, and provide documentation of these. Because the nature and extent of both petroleum and hazardous substances have yet to be defined, the costs are anticipated to be equal for each grant category. This covers the subcontracted costs of drillers (\$10,000), analytical laboratories (\$12,000), human health and ecological risk assessors (\$12,000), QEP services such as oversight, project implementation, field work, reporting (\$129,000). Upon completion of QAPP (3 months after issuance of cooperative agreement), and public meeting specified above, site assessment will commence (Winter/Spring 2020) and will continue throughout 2020. The Phase II Comprehensive Site Assessment and Method 3 Risk Characterization will be completed by Spring 2021.

Task 4 – Re-Use Planning: The outputs associated with the re-use planning include a conceptual design incorporating the findings of the assessment and risk assessment along with the needs of the Council on Aging, the Town, the food pantry and the residents and community members. The costs are those associated with coordination between a qualified design professional and the Qualified Environmental Professional to incorporate the findings of the assessment and risk assessment into the design of the project. This is anticipated to be \$5,000 per grant category. The activities associated with this task will begin in the Fall of 2020, with issuance of conceptual design by the Summer of 2021.

Budget Categories	Project Tasks – Hazardous Materials				Total
	Program Oversight	Community Involvement	Site Assessment	Re-Use Planning	
Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Fringe Benefits	0	0	0	0	0
Travel	1,000	0	0	0	1,000
Equipment	0	0	0	0	0
Supplies	1,000	1,000	0	0	2,000
Contractual	0	4,000	163,000	5,000	172,000
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Total Direct Costs	2,000	5,000	163,000	5,000	175,000
Indirect Costs			0	0	0
Total Budget (Direct & Indirect)	0	0	0	0	\$175,000

Note that the Town is not seeking funding associated Town Manager or staff time to implement this project. The travel costs sought are for expenses associated with attending USEPA Brownfields Conferences.

Budget Categories		Project Tasks - Petroleum				Total
		Program Oversight	Community Involvement	Site Assessment	Re-Use Planning	
Direct Costs	Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
	Fringe Benefits	0	0	0	0	0
	Travel	1,000	0	0	0	1,000
	Equipment	0	0	0	0	0
	Supplies	1,000	1,000	0	0	2,000
	Contractual	0	4,000	163,000	5,000	172,000
	Other	0	0	0	0	0
Total Direct Costs		2,000	5,000	163,000	5,000	175,000
Indirect Costs		0	0	0	0	0
Total Budget (Total Direct Costs + Indirect		2,000	5,000	163,000	5,000	\$175,000
Note that the Town is not seeking funding associated Town Manager or staff time to implement this project. The travel costs are for expenses associated with attending USEPA Brownfields Conferences.						

Collectively, the total budget for the Hazardous Substances and Petroleum related Site-Specific Assessments is \$350,000.00.

- c. **Measuring Environmental Results:** The Town Manager will ensure that the project is implemented on time and within specified budgets. This will be accomplished through regular coordination with the QEP (including bi-weekly implementation calls); regular updates; project schedule updates; and quarterly reporting to the USEPA. The intent is to perform both the Hazardous Substances and Petroleum assessments concurrently, to be cost efficient and timely. Bi-weekly implementation calls will be held with the QEP to ensure the project is implemented on time and within budget. Existing budget tracking software systems will be utilized to ensure this also. Should any factors affect the timely implementation of the project schedule, USEPA will be notified immediately. The overall environmental results will be removal of a threat of release to the environment, increased sustainability and resiliency through sustainable redevelopment, and incorporation of renewable energy through redevelopment.

4. **PROGRAMMATIC CAPABILITY AND PAST PERFORMANCE**

a. **Programmatic Capability**

- i. Organizational Structure: The Norton Town Manager and staff will manage the project in a manner that will ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. The Town Manager has over 15 years of experience managing municipal projects of similar scope and size. Other key staff that will assist in the project include the Town Accountant, who has over 20 years of experience in municipal finance and will oversee the financial aspects of the project; and, the Conservation Agent, who has 25 years of experience in environmental matters and has managed several grants received by the Town.
- ii. Acquiring Additional Resources: The Town is very experienced at acquiring/leveraging resources to implement projects. The Town will utilize its existing staff with numerous years of experience in procuring goods and services for municipal projects. Procuring these will be conducted in accordance

with applicable state and federal procurement laws and regulations.

b. Past Performance and Accomplishments

The Town of Norton has not previously received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non-federal assistance agreements. In just the fiscal year ending in June, 2018, the Town received and managed over \$1.8 million in federal assistance, including funding from the US Department of Agriculture, the US Department of Housing and Urban Development, the US Department of Homeland Security, and the US Department of Education. Listed below are three grants received by the Town of Norton that are of similar size or scope.

Community Development Block Grant

(1) Purpose and Accomplishments

In 2018, the Town received Community Development Block Grant Funding from the US Department of Housing & Urban Development (through the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of housing & Community Development) in the amount of \$524,736.00, to fund various projects within the community. The grant was administrated and overseen by the Town Administrator.

(2) Compliance with Grant Requirements

The grant funding was administered on time, and the project was completed within budget. There were not unanticipated issues and/or need for corrections. All reporting was completed on time and within program requirements.

Chartley Wall Repair

(1) Purpose and Accomplishments: In 2017, the Town received a grant in the amount of \$261,000.00 from the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation, Office of Dam Safety, to perform upgrades and repairs to a stormwater retaining wall (Chartley Wall Repair). The grant and project was administered by the Norton Conservation Agent. The outcome was restoration and repair of a stormwater retaining wall to ensure facility safety and resiliency.

(2) Compliance with Grant Requirements: The grant funding was administered on time, and the project was completed within budget. There were not unanticipated issues and/or need for corrections. All reporting was completed on time and within program requirements.

Camp Edith Read Purchase

(1) Purpose and Accomplishments: In 2017, the Town received a grant in the amount of \$308,192.00 from the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation to evaluate and purchase the former Camp Edit Read land (46 acres) for the purpose of conservation and preservation. The grant was administered and managed by the Norton Conservation Agent.

(2) Compliance with Grant Requirements: The grant funding was administered on time, and the project was completed within budget. There were not unanticipated issues and/or need for corrections. All reporting was completed on time and within program requirements.

The Town has a long history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by each awarding agency/organization. Independent audits are performed on an annual basis and deficiencies or issues of noncompliance have not been identified.

THRESHOLD CRITERIA RESPONSE: Attachment A

1. Applicant Eligibility

The Town of Norton is a “local government” and is therefore eligible to apply as a General Purpose Unit of Local Government.

The subject property is located in the Town of Norton, in Bristol County, Massachusetts and consists of three vacant parcels of land identified as 47 Elm Street (Tax Map 17-36-0), 0 Elm Street (Tax Map 17-32-01-0), and 0 Cross Street (Tax Map 17-37-01-0), (herein referred to as the “Property” or “the Site”).

The Site is described in the deed recorded in the Northern Bristol County Registry of Deeds at Book 1666, Page 854, to the Reed & Barton Corporation. The Reed & Barton Corporation (now known as RB liquidation, Inc.) filed for bankruptcy protection in 2015 and the Property was legally abandoned during the bankruptcy proceedings. The Town is evaluating whether to take ownership of the Property via tax title foreclosure.

2. Community Involvement

The Town of Norton has established a Building Committee related to the construction of a new Town Hall. This is one of three properties evaluated as part of the feasibility study for a new Town Hall, which is a public process. The Building Committee is also represented by member of the Senior Center, as the concept for this includes construction of a new Senior Center. The building that the Town Hall and Senior Center are currently located in are not compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. In addition, there is a food pantry called Cupboards of Kindness which is also located in Town Hall and would be moved to a new Town Hall. The Town would continue public outreach and community involvement during the site assessment and re-use planning. This includes information posted on the Town website, public meetings, and providing opportunities for the public and interested stakeholders to provide input on the project.

3. Expenditure of Assessment Grant Funds

The Town has received state and federal funding in the past. However, the Town does not have an active USEPA Brownfields Assessment Grant.

4. Additional Threshold Criteria for Site-Specific Proposal

a. Basic Site Information

Site Name: Former Reed & Barton (and Eureka Manufacturing)
Address: 47 Elm Street, 0 Cross Street, 0 Elm Street, Norton, Massachusetts
Current Owner: Reed & Barton legally abandoned property during bankruptcy foreclosure proceedings in 2015.
Site Acquisition: Town would take via tax title foreclosure

b. Status and History of Contamination at the Site

The Site is currently a listed hazardous waste site in the State of Massachusetts and is being managed in accordance with the Massachusetts Contingency Plan. The USEPA is also in the process of completing a Removal Action on the property. In 2015, while MassDEP was investigating the detection of trichloroethylene in groundwater in the vicinity of the former Reed & Barton facility, TCE was detected in a sample collected from a monitoring well at a concentration greater than the reportable concentration for trichloroethylene (TCE). The Release Log Form that MassDEP prepared at the time indicated that the source of the TCE was unknown but that it could be associated with “closed RCRA lagoons”. Based on the information MassDEP had at the time, a Notice of Responsibility (dated November 16, 2015) was issued to RB Liquidation, Inc. (f/k/a Reed and Barton Corporation through Timothy K. Riddle, the President & Registered Agent).

Since that time, MassDEP and/or the USEPA performed several site visits to evaluate potential sources of contamination and to plan for additional assessment. MassDEP retained a consultant to perform a limited evaluation of soil and groundwater conditions and perform an assessment for the potential presence of asbestos-containing materials on the building interior and exterior. In addition, at the request of MassDEP, the USEPA is performing a removal action at the Site.

c. Brownfields Site Definition

The Site is not listed or proposed for listing on the National Priorities List. It is not subject to unilateral administrative orders, court orders, administrative consent orders, or judicial consent decrees issued under CERCLA; and, is not subject to the jurisdiction of the US Government.

d. Enforcement or Other Actions

The Property was legally abandoned by Reed & Barton Corp. during bankruptcy proceedings in 2015. The Town of Norton has placed Municipal Tax Liens on each of the three parcels. The USEPA is currently performing a Removal Action at the Property. The Town is not aware of any pending or ongoing enforcement actions.

e. Sites Requiring a Property-Specific Determination

The Site is currently subject to an ongoing removal action being performed by the USEPA under CERCLA. The Town has consulted with the USEPA Region 1 representatives to obtain a determination as to whether to submit a Site-Specific Assessment Grant application and submittal of the application was recommended.

f. Property Ownership Eligibility – Hazardous Substances Sites

The Town of Norton is not potentially liable for contamination at the Site. The Town does not now own and did not own or operate the Property at the time the releases occurred; they did not arrange for the disposal hazardous substances at the Site or transport hazardous substances

to the Site. The Town is in contact with counsel for RB Liquidation, has access authorization, and will continue to arrange access through RB Liquidation.

g. Property Ownership Eligibility – Petroleum Sites

The Town of Norton is not potentially liable for addressing the petroleum impacts at the Site, the Town does not own or operate the Site now, nor has it in the past. There is no viable party responsible for Site assessment and/or cleanup and the Site is not subject to a RCRA corrective action order. The MassDEP petroleum site eligibility determination is attached.

h. Waiver of the \$200,000 Limit

The Town is seeking a waiver of the \$200,000 limit due to the size of the property, the complexity of the impacts, and because the property actually encompasses three separate parcels, each of which require assessment. A waiver request is attached.

ATTACHMENTS:

Petroleum Eligibility Letter

Request for Waiver of \$200,000 Limit



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Energy & Environmental Affairs

Department of Environmental Protection

One Winter Street Boston, MA 02108 • 617-292-5500

Charles D. Baker
Governor

Karyn E. Polito
Lieutenant Governor

Matthew A. Beaton
Secretary

Martin Suuberg
Commissioner

January 29, 2019

U.S. EPA New England
Brownfields Project Officer
Attn: Jim Byrne
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912

Subject: **STATE PETROLEUM ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION**
Former Reed & Barton, 47 Elm Street, Norton, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Byrne:

The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) has been requested by the Town of Norton ("Town") to make a determination as to whether the property listed above ("site" or "property") meets the definition of a Brownfield site and whether it is eligible to use U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Brownfields Assessment Grant funding, which the Town is applying for under EPA's FY19 Brownfield's Assessment grant round. The current owner is Reed & Barton, which purchased the property in circa 1973. Reed & Barton legally abandoned the property in 2015 during foreclosure proceedings. The immediate previous owner is not known at this time. The Town hopes to redevelop the property for town offices and a senior center, along with open space and public access to the abutting Rumford River.

MassDEP issued Release Tracking Number (RTN) 4-0025855 for a release of hazardous substances at the site. EPA began a time-critical removal action (TCRA) due to the presence of these hazardous substances. During EPA's TCRA activities, a sheen was observed seeping out of the riverbank. EPA constructed a berm around the petroleum release to contain the release and to separate it from the on-going removal action.

EPA requires that MassDEP make a determination that any petroleum-contaminated site seeking to use EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant funding meets certain eligibility requirements. MassDEP is following EPA guideline criteria for eligibility determinations.

After a review of available records and the information provided by the Town, MassDEP provides the following information:

1. Petroleum contamination has been documented at the site. The property has been legally abandoned by the owner, Reed & Barton, due to bankruptcy; therefore, the current owner is

This information is available in alternate format. Contact Michelle Waters-Ekanem, Director of Diversity/Civil Rights at 617-292-5751.

TTY# MassRelay Service 1-800-439-2370

MassDEP Website: www.mass.gov/dep

Printed on Recycled Paper

considered to be not viable. Based on the release conditions at the time of discovery, it is possible that contamination occurred while the current owner owned the property. Therefore, the current owner is considered to be liable for petroleum contamination. The immediate previous owner is not known; therefore, the liability and viability of the previous owner cannot be determined until more information is provided.

2. The site is not currently being assessed or cleaned up using Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) funds.
3. Available records do not indicate that the applicant (Town) has dispensed or disposed of or owned the property during the dispensing or disposal of petroleum. In addition, available information indicates that the applicant has not conducted activities that would exacerbate potential contamination. It is not known whether the current owner dispensed or disposed of petroleum or whether they exacerbated potential contamination. However, the current owner has been determined to be not viable.
4. There are no Judgments, Orders, or Third Party Suits that identify and require a responsible party to assess, investigate, or cleanup this property.
5. The Town is not aware of the property being subject to any order under §9003(h) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Therefore, based on the above information, MassDEP has determined that the property meets the requirements set forth by the EPA for a **positive petroleum eligibility determination with conditions**. Once the applicant identifies the previous owner, the applicant should then provide information as to the viability/liability of the previous owner.

I hope that this information is helpful, and please feel free to contact Angela Gallagher at (508) 946-2790 if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,



Paul Locke, Assistant Commissioner
Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

cc: Mike Yunits, Norton Town Administrator
Molly Cote, Project Manager, CEC, Inc.
John Handrahan, Brownfields Coordinator, DEP-SERO

Request for Waiver of \$200,000 Assessment Grant Limit

As allowed by CERCLA, the Town of Norton is seeking a waiver of the \$200,000 funding limit, and is seeking \$350,000 to complete a Site-Specific Brownfields Assessment. The justification for seeking this waiver is provided below.

Site Description: The Site is the location of a former Reed & Barton manufacturing facility located in Norton, Massachusetts and consists of three parcels of land identified as 47 Elm Street, 0 Elm Street, and 0 Cross Street, all of which were owned and operated by Reed & Barton, and other related entities. The Rumford River flows through the Site, which is located within special flood hazard areas (Zone AE), as defined by the Federal Environmental Management Agency (FEMA). The property was legally abandoned by Reed & Barton in 2015, through bankruptcy proceedings.

The 47 Elm Street parcel is 16.25 acres in size and contains a manufacturing style building, initially built circa 1900, that is comprised of several one to two-story buildings that were pieced together over the years and total approximately 170,000 square feet and are in a state of serious disrepair. The Rumford River runs through the parcel from the north to the south and exits the parcel via a dam structure at Cross Street. The northwestern portion of this parcel is a grassed area that sits higher than the remainder of the parcel and is the location of a subsurface wastewater conveyance system. The 0 Cross Street parcel is 3.5 acres in size and is not currently improved with any structures. A former wastewater treatment facility, and the capped former wastewater lagoons are located on this parcel. The river also runs through this parcel. The 0 Elm Street parcel is a vacant, paved parking lot that is just under one acre in size. The parcel is located across Elm Street from the former manufacturing facility and was used as a parking area for former facility employees and visitors.

In October, 2015, MassDEP performed site assessment focused on the detection of trichloroethylene (TCE) in a groundwater sample collected in the vicinity of the Site, which was determined to be a reportable release requiring additional response actions. The source of the TCE was thought to be the former lagoons. In July, 2016, due to the known contamination, potential migration pathways, and the lack of a viable responsible party, MassDEP requested that the USEPA evaluate the Site to determine whether a removal action was warranted. In April, 2017, the USEPA initiated a Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation to evaluate the extent of the hazardous materials. Metals, volatile organic compounds; and, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons were detected in soil, sediment, and groundwater at the Site at concentrations exceeding their applicable cleanup criteria. As a result, the USEPA concluded that a removal action was necessary to prevent further deterioration of Site conditions and to reduce the risks posed by the Site. In August, 2018, the USEPA initiated the removal action, which was to consist of evaluation of exterior transformers; diversion of river water to facilitate excavation along the bank; excavation and off-Site disposal of impacted sediment and soils; assessment of any additional hazardous materials identified during the removal action; repair of response related damages; and, demobilization. During the performance of the removal action, petroleum product was identified seeping into the river from the banks. Additional assessment, and possible remediation, of the petroleum related impacts and identification of the source is ongoing.

Rationale for Waiver Request: The facility has been vacant since 2007 and was legally abandoned in 2015. There is no viable responsible party. The three parcels comprise approximately 20 acres of land that is to be assessed. There are numerous subsurface structures that are potential sources of impact to various areas of the property. There are known and potential impacts from both petroleum and hazardous substances that require assessment. There are four capped lagoons; a 20,000 gallon capacity underground storage tank (and other USTs); former plating areas; and a significant subsurface sewerage conveyance system; all that require assessment. A significant effort is required to evaluate the risk posed to the environment (the Rumford River and associated wetlands) and the potential for the river to be a migration pathway.

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 1. Type of Submission:

- ☐ Preapplication
☒ Application
☐ Changed/Corrected Application

* 2. Type of Application:

- ☒ New
☐ Continuation
☐ Revision

* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):

* Other (Specify):

* 3. Date Received:

01/30/2019

4. Applicant Identifier:

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:

5b. Federal Award Identifier:

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:

7. State Application Identifier:

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

Town of Norton

* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN):

046 001 252

* c. Organizational DUNS:

1793108670000

d. Address:

* Street1:

70 East Main Street

Street2:

* City:

Norton

County/Parish:

Bristol

* State:

MA: Massachusetts

Province:

* Country:

USA: UNITED STATES

* Zip / Postal Code:

02766-1701

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name:

Town Manager

Division Name:

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix:

Mr.

* First Name:

Michael

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Yunits

Suffix:

Title:

Town Manager

Organizational Affiliation:

* Telephone Number:

(508) 285-0212

Fax Number:

* Email:

myunits@nortonmaus.com

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:

C: City or Township Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

* 10. Name of Federal Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

66.818

CFDA Title:

Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements

* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:

EPA-OLEM-OBLR-18-06

* Title:

FY19 GUIDELINES FOR BROWNFIELDS ASSESSMENT GRANTS

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

Site-specific USEPA Brownfields Site Assessment Grant for the former Reed and

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424**16. Congressional Districts Of:**

* a. Applicant MA-004

* b. Program/Project MA-004

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date: 06/03/2019

* b. End Date: 08/31/2021

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal	350,000.00
* b. Applicant	0.00
* c. State	0.00
* d. Local	0.00
* e. Other	0.00
* f. Program Income	0.00
* g. TOTAL	350,000.00

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- ☐ a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on .
- ☐ b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- ☒ c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

☒ ** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: Mr. * First Name: Michael

Middle Name:

* Last Name: Yunits

Suffix:

* Title: Town Manager

* Telephone Number: (508) 285-0212 Fax Number:

* Email: myunits@nortonmaus.com

* Signature of Authorized Representative: Michael D Yunits * Date Signed: 01/30/2019